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he warmer weather marks the beginning of spring, but my sights are set on fall when the BCMC show will roll into the Big Easy, the city of New Orleans. For me, BCMC is an annual opportunity to learn more about our industry. It's the place to learn about innovative ideas, new products, software, and equipment. You can meet just about any vendor who serves our industry and make valuable comparisons. This access to vendors and their in-depth perspectives, coupled with the opportunity to discuss ideas and common challenges with manufacturers from all over the country, makes the Building Community Making Connections event the most valuable week of the year for me.

Over the last 20 years that I have managed component operations, I have been fortunate to attend 14 BCMC shows. Each of these shows has helped me grow as a manager and a leader, bringing value to the companies where I’ve worked. My interest and involvement in the show has clearly evolved over the years. As a young manager attending my first show in 1993, I remember going to every educational session I could fit in my schedule. Even as a newbie back then, it was apparent to me that I could learn a great deal from these sessions and the seasoned managers/owners who were willing to teach me their best practices.

As my career in this industry developed, I found more and more valuable learning opportunities at the show outside of the BCMC sessions. Oh, I still go to the educational seminars and learn a ton, but I have also come to find a great deal of learning opportunities in networking with my fellow manufacturers. I have developed great friendships with these folks, which has allowed me to draw on their knowledge and share my own with them as we collaborate on issues throughout the year. A perfect example of this teamwork is the ongoing Southern Pine (SP) lumber design values issue. We have had countless conversations about this topic over the last several months and will likely have many more. We share our ideas and concerns as we look for the best grade solutions and implementation timing for adherence to the new design values that go into effect in June. We've also discussed the anticipated design value changes coming sometime after September once the full range of SPIB testing on SP is completed. This overall thought process goes well beyond SP to other species.

BCMC has evolved a great deal over the years as well. Based on member response, the show moved to largely CM-led educational sessions a few years ago. This proved to be a great format that we continue to use to this day with members teaching members about solutions for their businesses. Every BCMC, I walk away thinking I’ve seen the best educational sessions to date, but the tracks just keep getting better year after year. Our BCMC Committee and staff’s knack for streamlining and improving the show is a tribute to their diligence and hard work; if you get a chance, please thank them for their service.

The economic downturn we have all experienced over the last five years or so has led the BCMC Committee to search for ways to revitalize the show and increase attendance. A couple of years ago, we added the BCMC Build to give something back to the cities we visit. The first year was a huge success in Charlotte, NC, when we built our first Habitat for Humanity home. Last year in Indianapolis, IN, we partnered...
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with Eli Lilly to build not one, but two homes for deserving families. BCMC Build has brought a great deal of pride and accomplishment to the members who have participated, and it helped reenergize the show just as we had hoped. This year, BCMC Build will do its part to help rebuild New Orleans. If you can, I encourage you to volunteer your time on the day of the build. You will enjoy the experience and likely make some new contacts and establish new friendships in the process.

Last year, a couple of BCMC Committee members, a past president and I were approached by a few long-standing supporters of the show. We were asked to consider scaling back BCMC in 2012. Their idea was to focus more on the educational and networking aspects of the show rather than bringing equipment. Shifting BCMC’s focus this way would help cut costs for vendors during these trying economic times. This concept was taken to staff and the SBCA Executive Committee. The idea was discussed in depth with great care to consider how this change would affect the show. The Executive Committee (after receiving significant input from our vendors, CMs and the BCMC Committee) decided that this year would be a perfect time for this temporary change in the show’s format, and with that, the winds of change were afoot.

Our BCMC staff sprang into action, working to find the means in Denver to accomplish our goal. Eventually, a decision was made to relocate the show to New Orleans as the BCMC Committee worked on ramping up the educational and networking aspect of the show. The process has come together and we are confident we have an outstanding show on tap for October. As Jason Blenker, BCMC Educational Co-Chair, says, education is the “meat on the bones of the conference” and we’ve really brought education front and center. Following the theme of Plan, Perform, Achieve, BCMC will include more workshops and business planning sessions to help component manufacturers enhance their business strategy and operations as the housing market slowly emerges from this long downturn. The layout of the show is even being updated to encourage more interaction between vendors and attendees.

Our 2012 BCMC will be a great show experience in an outstanding location. So join us in New Orleans on October 17-19. With top-notch educational sessions, BCMC Build and a new layout for the show, this could be the most valuable week of your year. I GUAR-ON-TEE. See you in New Orleans! SBC
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Wind Load Analysis: MWFRS vs. C&C

A

SCE/SEI 7-10, Minimum Design Loads of Buildings and Other Structures, lists two methods for calculating wind pressures: Main Wind Force Resisting System (MWFRS) and Components & Cladding (C&C). Choosing which method to use when designing uplift connections for trusses can raise a number of questions for building designers, code officials and truss designers.

Question
What is the best method of wind load analysis to use in truss uplift connection design and who is responsible for this work?

Answer
Selecting a method of wind load analysis depends on whether you are designing uplift connections for an individual member or a system. Let’s start with some definitions for ASCE 7-10.

Components and Cladding (C&C): Elements of the building envelope that do not qualify as part of the MWFRS.

Main Wind Force Resisting System (MWFRS): An assemblage of structural elements assigned to provide support and stability for the overall structure. The system generally receives wind loading from more than one surface.

Either of these methods can apply to trusses, depending on the situation. By definition, a truss is an assemblage of structural elements, which would put it into the MWFRS category. The minimum uplift connection forces are provided in Table R802.11 of the International Residential Code (IRC) and Table 2308.10.1 of the International Building Code (IBC) for rafters and trusses used in conventional light-frame construction. (For tables, see the online version of this article at sbcmag.info.) Both of these tables are developed using the MWFRS method as indicated by the reference in Footnote e to Figure 6-2 of ASCE 7-05 and Chapter 28 of ASCE 7-10.

Table R802.11 of the 2006 and 2009 IRC states:

Table 2308.10.1 of the 2012 IBC states:

Either of these methods can apply to trusses, depending on the situation. By definition, a truss is an assemblage of structural elements, which would put it into the MWFRS category. The minimum uplift connection forces are provided in Table R802.11 of the International Residential Code (IRC) and Table 2308.10.1 of the International Building Code (IBC) for rafters and trusses used in conventional light-frame construction. (For tables, see the online version of this article at sbcmag.info.) Both of these tables are developed using the MWFRS method as indicated by the reference in Footnote e to Figure 6-2 of ASCE 7-05 and Chapter 28 of ASCE 7-10.

However, a truss also receives wind load directly from the roof sheathing (i.e., cladding) and therefore acts as a component, which puts it into the C&C category.

1 The 2012 IBC references Figure 28.6.3 of ASCE, which does not exist. It appears the intention is to refer to Chapter 28 of ASCE 7-10.
This crossover is illustrated in C26 of the Commentary for ASCE 7, which lists roof trusses as examples of both MWFRS and C&C (bold added to definitions to emphasize key concepts):

**COMPONENTS AND CLADDING:** … Examples of components include fasteners, purlins, girts, studs, roof decking, and roof trusses. … The engineer needs to use appropriate loadings for design of components, which may require certain components to be designed for more than one type of loading, for example, long-span roof trusses should be designed for loads associated with MWFRS, and individual members of trusses should also be designed for component and cladding loads…

**MAIN WIND-FORCE RESISTING SYSTEM (MWFRS):** … Structural elements such as cross-bracing, shear walls, roof trusses, and roof diaphragms are part of the Main Wind-Force Resisting System (MWFRS) when they assist in transferring overall loads…

**Combined Analysis**

The truss industry uses a combined analysis, incorporating both the MWFRS and C&C method, to generate wind uplift and downward pressure loading conditions. MWFRS applies to the assembly of multiple parts, while C&C covers an individual part. SBCA recommends this hybrid approach. Most two-dimensional software analysis programs offer a choice of wind analysis methods when applying wind loads.

Using this combined analysis, truss or rafter uplift connections, at the plate line or as attached to a header, beam or girder, should be designed for wind load using the MWFRS analysis method, and individual truss or rafter members should be designed using the C&C analysis method. Similarly, gable frame uplift connections should be designed for wind uplift loads using the MWFRS analysis method, while individual members of the gable frame should be designed using the wind applied downward pressure loads developed through the C&C analysis method.

### Table: MWFRS vs C&C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MWFRS</th>
<th>C&amp;C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Truss Uplift Connection</td>
<td>Individual Truss Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gable Frame Uplift Connection</td>
<td>Individual Member of a Gable Frame for Downward Pressure Loading Conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rafter Uplift Connection</td>
<td>Roof Covering, Wall Covering</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Examples using the MWFRS and C&C analysis methods.

**Issues to Watch**

Regardless of the design method used, the truss designer needs as much loading information as possible from the building designer in order to design the trusses. The building designer is responsible for providing the structural design documents and all of the load and dimension information necessary to design the trusses. If a project does not require a licensed professional building designer, the owner or the owner’s agent is responsible for providing this information. Although the IBC and IRC require all applicable design loads to be listed by the building designer in the structural design documents, this information is often lacking or not available to the truss designer at the time of design. If the truss designer does not have this information, assumptions may have to be made that can easily hamper an accurate and affordable design being performed.

Problems can arise if the end reactions on the truss designer’s or truss design engineer’s truss design drawings are different than the building designer’s calculation of roof-to-wall anchorage forces. If this occurs, the issue falls under the building designer’s scope of responsibility, per TPI 1-2007 and AISI S214-07, to resolve any differences in the reaction forces. **SBC**

---

*To pose a question for this column, email technicalqa@sbcmag.info.*
Fifteen dollars doesn’t get you much these days. A few gallons a gas. A large pizza. A year’s worth of utility bills...

It seems staggering, but it’s true. The net-zero homes Habitat for Humanity Sacramento (CA) is building in its community will generate minimal monthly gas and water bills, but the credit the homeowners earn from their electricity bills should offset such costs. “Habitat builds homes for deserving families who have to put in 500 hours of ‘sweat equity’ into the home they receive,” said Dan Wilson, Habitat’s Director of Construction. “In return, we provide them with a 30-year, interest-free loan. The less they pay per month in utilities is more they can pay back on their mortgage.”

Beyond super-sized energy efficiency, Wilson points out there are several other benefits to net-zero homes like the one they are currently completing in Sacramento (See photo 1). These advantages turn into virtual talking points for component manufacturers to use in promoting the use of advanced framing techniques in green building and beyond. “Everyone thinks these [energy efficient]...
homes cost more,” Wilson said. “The reality is that we have limited resources, so cost is a huge factor. These homes don’t cost more, they just reallocate the cost. The engineering and inspection costs are higher, but the ‘sticks and bricks’ costs—the cost of materials and labor—is significantly less.”

To see how it all evens out, let’s look at the home design itself, and then get into the ‘sticks and bricks’ cost savings that can be easily captured by component manufacturers and their builder customers taking this approach. With the structural component industry’s engineering know-how, there are many opportunities for increased sales.

**Engineering Outside the Box**

“We’ve worked with Habitat to build 14 LEED-certified homes (8 gold, 6 platinum) over the past few years,” said Norm Scheel, the Engineer of Record for various Habitat projects. “But this home goes way beyond those in terms of engineering and energy efficiency.” One key aspect was to place the HVAC mechanicals and duct work in conditioned space, essentially insulating it inside the building envelope (see Figure 1).

At first, Scheel looked at taking a traditional approach and using attic trusses to create a space to install the mechanicals. “But when we looked at the blocking that would have had to be done, I got concerned the HVAC professionals wouldn’t necessarily know what we were trying to accomplish,” explained Scheel. “Instead, we went with scissors trusses to hang the mechanicals off of, and then designed essentially a glorified drop ceiling to hang the drywall to.” (See Photo 2.)

Beyond super-sized energy efficiency, there are many other benefits to net-zero homes.
Scheel also raised the heel heights of the trusses (see Figure 2) to make more room for the mechanicals and duct work, and to allow for more insulation. “The raised heel is such a simple change, and it allows you to eliminate thermal gaps that traditionally occur at the outside connections,” said Scheel. “It also made it much easier to vent to the outside and even slightly reduces the pitch of the roof to make it easier to work on.”

The raised heel also allowed for a unique engineering approach. “We attached a 1-¼” LSL to the outside heel to evenly distribute the load over the entire top plate of the wall,” said Scheel. “This even load distribution allowed us to eliminate all the headers above the doors and windows (see Figures 2 and 3 and Photos 4 and 5 below).

Beyond the elimination of headers, they framed the wall panels using 2x6 studs to create more room for insulation and added taped foam sheathing as the air and moisture barrier to the outside of the walls. Scheel also eliminated several studs in the exterior walls where interior walls met up (see Figure 4).

“This simple alteration has many benefits,” said Scheel. “First, you reduce the amount of wood, which saves money and lightens the weight of the...
wall during framing. Second, you can more easily place insulation in that cavity, which has a much higher R-value than wood, much like what we accomplished above the doors and windows. Third, it means that down the line it is much easier to move or eliminate interior walls during remodeling.” As a result, the walls in this home have a whopping R-value of 26.5!

Trusses Gone Wild
“Trusses gone wild,” said Wilson. “I’ve been working in this industry for 29 years, and I have never put the HVAC mechanicals in conditioned space before.” At first, Wilson and others on the project were concerned the scissors truss and dropped ceiling arrangement would be costly, but it worked out to be about the same cost as a more traditional approach. “There were 16 trusses. A typical attic truss package would have cost around $3,200, but these scissors trusses were closer to $2,600,” said Wilson. “Of course, there was an extra layer of drywall for the dropped ceiling, so we broke even.”

One of those framers is Terry Hardin. He was the lead framing supervisor for the net-zero home. Hardin has been a carpenter framer in the San Francisco Bay area for over 34 years. He got into framing right out of high school, and had always used conventional framing techniques before coming to Habitat. “At first, I was not a big fan of components,” said Hardin. “My initial impression was that it wouldn’t structurally work. I had to see it to believe it.”

Four short years later, Hardin can’t imagine why anyone would frame a house any other way. “It’s faster, cheaper and easier,” said Hardin. “It’s significantly lighter to work with, there is so much less waste on the jobsite, and in the end, you get a much better insulated envelope.”

On this particular job, Hardin was in for an additional surprise. “I had built this building’s floor plan five to six times before. I thought I knew exactly what we were doing,” explained Hardin. “They told me to take a closer look at this plan, and I was amazed at what they did with this house. Raising the heel heights, taking out the headers and eliminating the channels at the intersecting walls took a lot of weight out of the framing.”

The biggest challenge Hardin had to overcome on the project, besides working with a framing crew made up almost entirely of inexperienced volunteers, was figuring out how to attach the siding with all the extra foam sheathing and moisture barrier on the exterior walls. “We ended up with an 8-½” thick wall, by attaching extra furring around the windows and corners, we were able to work out a solution.” (See Photo 6.)

Selling the Revolution
Not every builder is going to have the same motivations as Habitat to build a net-zero house. However, changes in the energy code, combined with an increased public awareness of the green building movement, do appear to be driving an increasing number of builders toward considering green construction (see “The Blooming of Green Homes” from April 2012). Now appears to be an opportune time for component manufacturers to market themselves and their ability to do advanced framing to builders, within the context of green and energy efficient construction. For a component manufacturer’s perspective, see “Faces of the Industry” on page 21. SBC

See the online version of this article for more information about Habitat for Humanity and their net-zero homebuilding efforts.
ny innovation in building construction, whether it’s a new product, material or method, needs to be compliant with the building code before it can be implemented or used in the field. Traditionally, obtaining code compliance for a product can be a complicated, sometimes messy, and certainly a drawn-out process. Not for the faint of heart, code compliance can turn into a saga spanning well over a year, requiring significant resources. In turn, the existing process creates a barrier to bringing a revolutionary product or process to market.

Fortunately, the Structural Building Components Research Institute (SBCRI), utilizing the staff of Qualtim, is offering a new, streamlined approach to code compliance. It’s a road less traveled that can be much quicker and more robust than the traditional path, because it is focused solely on complying with existing law. SBCRI makes use of an efficient approach for testing and analysis. The results of the testing are summarized in a Technical Evaluation Report (TER), which is intended to assist those who enforce the codes and make the final determination of a product’s compliance with the code.
Overall, the goal of a TER is to:

1. Provide a transparent set of design values that are either defined by or implied by the International Residential Code (IRC), International Building Code (IBC), International Energy Conservation Code (IECC), International Green Construction Code (IgCC) and any referenced consensus standards as they relate to structural framing.

2. Define a performance benchmark and the logic paths for comparative performance, which SBCRI calls comparative equivalency.

3. Provide a technically reasonable foundation upon which to make engineering judgments when using generally accepted engineering methods in concert with the code compliance requirements.

4. Keep the outcomes understandable and simple to follow and use.

5. Facilitate a level playing field, allowing for fair and understandable product development.

In essence, SBCRI intends the TER process to help foster creative engineering innovation in the construction industry.

**How Does It Work?**

First, SBCRI meets with a client and evaluates their proposed building product, material or method. SBCRI’s registered professional engineering staff help identify the sections of the building code that apply to the client’s concept and define the need for a code-compliance evaluation. SBCRI then develops a series of test protocols to conduct structural testing and establish that the client’s concept is a code-compliant alternative.

ANSI/ACLASS certified as an ILAC-MLA accredited testing agency, SBCRI meets the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025 for all its testing techniques used to determine a structural product’s real-life design limit states. SBCRI tests products as they would actually be installed in a structure to resist the same loading conditions encountered in the field. This “in-situ” testing, combined with its technical and engineering staff’s structural building component expertise, provides the foundation for the TER.

Continued on page 18
...Code Compliance
Continued from page 17

Technical staff compiles and analyzes the resulting test data and generates the TER, which is in turn signed and sealed by one of its professional engineers. Therefore, the TER process provides a code-compliant evaluation that references sufficient test data and associated engineering analysis to assure building code equivalency for an alternative material, product or assembly.

As the ICC Evaluation Service states in its “1.0 PURPOSE” statement, “ICC-ES evaluation reports assist those enforcing model codes in determining whether a given subject complies with those codes. Approval for use is the prerogative and responsibility of the Code Official; ICC-ES does not intend to assume, nor can ICC-ES assume, that prerogative and responsibility.” A TER and other Approved Sources perform this function.

Do TERs Comply with the Law?
The simple answer is yes! Adopted into local or state law, the building code has key provisions that make creative and innovative testing and engineering work possible (see sidebar on page 20).

SBCRI and Qualtim can provide transparent design values that are either defined or implied by the building code (IRC, IBC, IECC, IgCC, referenced consensus standards, etc.). SBCRI calls the latter comparative equivalency design values.

Firmly based on generally accepted engineering methods and code compliance requirements, the TER defines a product’s performance benchmark and the rationale used to determine comparative performance. The TER is also affixed with a professional engineer’s seal. Once a product’s design limits are known, it can be compared to other products on a level playing field basis, which promotes creative engineering and innovation in the building construction industry.

Concluding Thoughts
When a company looks for product development testing, engineering analysis, design value development and code-compliance assistance, it wants to:

1. Get the product or idea/innovation to market quickly;
2. Work with professionals who have expertise in the industry;
3. Navigate the code compliance process as efficiently as possible; and
4. Obtain a sealed report documenting the product’s code compliance

All of this is possible through SBCRI’s Technical Evaluation Report (TER) process.

If you have an idea for a new product, consider SBCRI’s streamlined TER approach. The goal is simple: Define the code compliant benchmark, test your product against that benchmark, and compete on a fair and understandable basis. It may make the difference between a product successfully brought to market that generates sales immediately, and one whose sales never take off in the way that the owner expected. SBC
This code-compliance approach is a ‘portal’ to success in the marketplace. SBCRI’s data collection system allows it to chart the strength and flow of loads in real-time.

Tests can be conducted monotonically, cyclically or both.

Tests results are compared to a benchmark to ascertain code compliance.

If you have an idea for a new product, consider SBCRI’s streamlined TER approach. The goal is simple: Define the code compliant benchmark, test your product against that benchmark, and compete on a fair and understandable basis.

For more details about how to test your product, go to sbcri.info.

SBCRI’s data collection system allows it to chart the strength and flow of loads in real-time.
The IBC & Established Law (as adopted) Provide These Definitions:

- APPROVED AGENCY – “An established and recognized agency regularly engaged in conducting tests or furnishing inspection services, when such agency has been approved.” SBCRI meets the code definition of an Approved Agency.

- APPROVED SOURCE – “An independent person, firm or corporation, approved by the building official, who is competent and experienced in the application of engineering principles to materials, methods or systems analyses.” SBCRI furthermore meets the definition of Approved Source.

- APPROVED – “Acceptable to the code official or authority having jurisdiction.”

- 104.11 Alternative materials, design and methods of construction and equipment. The provisions of this code are not intended to prevent the installation of any material or to prohibit any design or method of construction not specifically prescribed by this code, provided that any such alternative has been approved. An alternative material, design or method of construction shall be approved where the building official finds that the proposed design is satisfactory and complies with the intent of the provisions of this code, and that the material, method or work offered is, for the purpose intended, at least the equivalent of that prescribed in this code in quality, strength, effectiveness, fire resistance, durability and safety.

- 104.11.1 Research reports. Supporting data, where necessary to assist in the approval of materials or assemblies not specifically provided for in this code, shall consist of valid research reports from approved sources.

- 104.11.2 Tests. Whenever there is insufficient evidence of compliance with the provisions of this code, or evidence that a material or method does not conform to the requirements of this code, or in order to substantiate claims for alternative materials or methods, the building official shall have the authority to require tests as evidence of compliance to be made at no expense to the jurisdiction. Test methods shall be as specified in this code or by other recognized test standards. In the absence of recognized and accepted test methods, the building official shall approve the testing procedures. Tests shall be performed by an approved agency. Reports of such tests shall be retained by the building official for the period required for retention of public records. SBC
How did you get into doing truss design and/or get started in the components industry? I graduated from college with a degree in construction management and engineering. Designing trusses seemed like the perfect fit to use that knowledge. I started with Latham Truss, then went on to be a co-owner of Western Wood Fabricators, but when we had to close our doors four years later, I came to Homewood.

What is your favorite part about working in the components industry? Designing components. I enjoy having the ability to continually evolve their layout and application. There is a lot of room to innovate and it gives me the opportunity to influence changes in construction.

What do you think about the concept of “green” building? Unfortunately, “green” has become a fad word. Green can mean simply using recycled materials, or making sure your lumber comes from within a few hundred miles, but there are a lot more “green” opportunities for improving the house.

What term do you prefer instead? I think component manufacturers can play a significant role in green building standards, and collaborating with engineers and builders to meet the demands of changes to the energy code, in providing “advanced framing” options.

What’s an example of advanced framing? For instance, on the net-zero home in Sacramento, we eliminated the headers above all the windows and doors and raised the heel heights of the roof trusses. We took a lot of wood out of walls (which is certainly “green”), saving the builder money on the cost of materials, and made more room for insulating the walls and roof at the connection points.

Why isn’t everyone doing this, then? Builders, architects and engineers are focused on how the building comes together. Telling a builder they can build a house without headers is going to challenge a basic assumption they make about how houses are constructed. Their initial reaction is going to be, “that won’t work.” A big challenge for component manufacturers is to convince the builder, or architect or engineer, that it will work.

What’s the trick in convincing them? The easiest route is having done it before and having an example to show them. Beyond that, you really need to be involved on the ground-floor, during the initial design phase. If you can work with the architect or engineer from the beginning, it’s a lot easier to convince them to put it in the original plans, as opposed to getting them to change it later. We are all used to selling a product, but in this case, we are also selling a concept.

If you could change one thing about how the residential construction industry works, what would it be? To increase communication between all the players within the residential construction industry and create a greater sense of team without discounting any part of process. Every aspect is vital to completion of the project.

What do you do to relax? I do a lot of biking, hiking, golf, anything that gets me outdoors. I also like to watch my boys play sports. SBC

Look for more insight from fellow component manufacturers in upcoming issues as we continue this new column. If you would like to share your thoughts on an industry topic, contact Sean at sshields@sbcmag.info.
The March 2012 issue of SBC highlighted the benefits of assembling wood roof trusses on the ground. Here, we see an example using cold-formed steel trusses for a Winco Foods Store in Mesa, AZ. Westco Steel Systems designed the store's roof so the contractor could build each section on the ground and hoist it into place as a fabricated section.

Four workers assembled, sheathed and set the roof in just four days, about half the time and labor it would have taken to individually install the trusses, not to mention greatly increasing safety and reducing the need for complicated fall protection systems. Westco Steel Systems designs many of its projects so the roof can be built on the ground at the jobsite, says Kimbo Godwin, President of Westco, noting, "With the correct planning, the cost of rigging and lifting is very small compared to the cost savings of building on the ground." SBC

The next online photo contest is underway, and the winner will be featured in an upcoming issue of SBC. Email your photos* (high resolution, 300 dpi, preferred) today, along with a brief description, to epatterson@sbcmag.info.

*Photos submitted may be used in SBC Magazine or other SBCA materials.
Set your company apart with SCORE’s certification program that incorporates all of SBCA’s education and training programs – helping you implement cost-effective industry best practices. Learn more about options and how to get started at sbcinindustry.com/score.php.
iCommand2Go gives you and your team real-time access to job data, bidding numbers, production status and more on your web enabled mobile device! The latest edition adds the ability to view layouts, engineered drawings, and printed reports in PDF format.

Sign up for your free account: itwbcg.com/icommand2go

iCommand is the component industry’s most advanced business management application. Built expressly to manage and control the flow of data in your business, iCommand works seamlessly with intelliVIEW.

iCommand starts up automatically and makes capturing critical data easy! That’s just the start, iCommand’s real value comes from its flexibility in reporting data exactly the way you need it to run your business.

Contact your ITW Building Components Group representative today to experience the industry’s most powerful business management software - iCommand.

Instinct is a residential BIM-based system that integrates information and functions through a single 3D model utilized by the entire supply chain.

iCommand and intelliVIEW are part of the Instinct process!

Scan me!