

For reader service, go to www.sbcmag.info/eagle.htm



www.tollesonlumber.com For reader service, go to www.sbcmag.info/tolleson.htm



Readers Respond:

This issue's theme—Design & Engineering Advancements brings a variety of topics to light, inspiring SBC readers from component manufacturers to engineers to pass along their thoughts.

Design It Correctly in the First Place

Component manufacturer Bob Becht of Chambers Truss added a few comments on an article about truss design, pointing out that advances in design software allow for more efficient and economical truss design rules.

Dear Rachel,

I read your article "Rules of Good Truss Design" in the March issue of SBC with great interest. Most of what I read I agreed with but some of it was old-fashioned and might lead some to believe that not following these guidelines is "bad design."

I take issue with building a studio truss as a scissors truss with a non-structural filler. The scissors truss with filler is not structurally superior and adds a lot of useless lumber and plate. The scissors truss with filler has three bottom chords instead of two and a world of webbing. The studio truss you show has 12 pieces and 22 plates; the scissors truss with filler has 19 pieces and 56 plates! That's 58 percent more pieces! That just isn't good design. In a market that demands "value engineering," the scissors truss with filler is a non-starter. The argument that the scissors truss with filler is easier to repair doesn't bear much scrutiny. While it is easier to repair, the best solution is to design it correctly in the first place; we do it every day. All trusses are difficult to repair if they are built wrong.

Our customer service department doesn't report many problems with studio trusses. If the drop is inches off, the repair of the studio truss is as easy as the scissors truss with filler because in the studio, the bottom chord to the right of the peak and the drop web on the right side of the peak are dead wood and can be cut away. One of the reasons we used to build a scissors truss with filler (instead of a studio) was we did not have the capability to design the studio truss. Now we can design anything.

As the market becomes ever more competitive and the truss design software becomes more capable, many of the old practices die out. When I walk the shop I am amazed by the designs we build and ship and the customer installs without problem.

Thanks for the article. I hope it stimulates discussion.

Bob Becht, Chambers Truss, Fort Pierce, FL

Architects & Structural Engineering

Industry veteran John Meeks submitted the following letter in response to a recent article published in STRUCTURE Magazine, surrounding the issue of building design and structural engineering. For full text of the article, "Should Architects be Allowed to Do Structural Engineering?," visit Support Docs at www.sbcmag.info.

Dear SBC Magazine:

I recently came across the attached article in STRUCTURE Magazine and thought you might find it of interest. This paper pretty well explains the long term state of affairs between Architects and Engineers here in Florida. Outside Dade and Broward counties almost anyone could design a residence, the concept being that residences are simple structures and there is therefore no need for expensive Architects and Structural Engineers. The unusual progression of present day residential design has evolved into the most complex of structural designs. Ask any SE if he or she would rather design a \$2,000,000 residence or a \$2,000,000 warehouse building. My guess is that most S.E.s would prefer the warehouse.

How does this affect WTCA? It falls right into my earlier predictions that the wood truss industry will eventually offer complete engineered structures. The homeowners may choose to use an Architect for the overall building design to meet his/her family living conditions, but the overall S.E. design will go to the nearest component manufacturer who offers complete design services.

I thought you might find this article of concern to the component industry.

Very truly yours,

John E. Meeks, P.A., Ft. Lauderdale, FL SBC



www.sbcmag.info

Dear Reader:

Copyright © 2005 by Truss Publications, Inc. All rights reserved. For permission to reprint materials from SBC Magazine, call 608/310-6706 or email editor@sbcmag.info.

The mission of Structural Building Components Magazine (SBC) is to increase the knowledge of and to promote the common interests of those engaged in manufacturing and distributing of structural building components to ensure growth and continuity, and to be the information conduit by staying abreast of leading-edge issues. SBC will take a leadership role on behalf of the component industry in disseminating technical and marketplace information, and will maintain advisory committees consisting of the most knowledgeable professionals in the industry. The opinions expressed in SBC are those of the authors and those quoted solely, and are not necessarily the opinions of any of the affiliated associations (SBCC, WTCA, SCDA & STCA) .

